



A WEEKLY COMMENTARY

ON TARGET

- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



The price of Freedom is eternal vigilance –

Print Post Publication Number 381667 00258

Vol.43, No.6

February 16th, 2007

THOUGHT FOR THE WEEK: "There is I think one safe rule to apply to all Schemes Plots and Plans. It is called the Golden Rule and it is not new. Disregard all the fine phrases. Disregard all appeals to your 'Public Spirit'. Don't bother about Liberty, Equality and Fraternity. Don't waste your time trying to find out who the Proletariat are going to dictate to, when we're all propertyless, and so all Proletariat. Merely enquire "What are you going to do to me, and how do I stop you if I don't like it? Can I kick your inspectors and your Ogpu-Gestapo and your Kommissars out of my (excuse me, my mistake, the proletariat's) house, or can they kick me? If I believe it isn't my business to spend the rest of my life making tractors for China, and say so, do I get my coupons, or don't I? ...

If you ask the Utopian this kind of question, you will discover an interesting fact – that one of the biggest parts of the Big Idea is the indispensability of the Ogpu. Or call it the Gestapo – or the G-men. Or the "snoopers". We don't hear much about the Ogpu these days, but, like Benjamin Cohen of the U.S.A., it's there.

And so we come to the core of the matter – it isn't the scheme that matters – that's just to keep you quiet... It's the sanctions that matter. You think the World State would be governed by Saints? Remember the wise Lord Acton, 'Absolute Power corrupts absolutely'."

– From the Introduction to "*The Big Idea*" by L.D. Byrne, OBE, January 1982

HOWARD'S WATER GRAB by James Reed: The headline and cartoon says it all "Howard's \$2.5bn Water Grab" (*The Australian*, 25/1/07 p.1). The cartoon has Howard as a TV weather-boy pointing to a map of Australia with dollar signs over each State. The League has warned that Canberra control of the Murray-Darling Basin will lead to further degradation and exploitation of water resources. Global water corporations already monopolise precious water resources and stand to be the real benefactors of Howard's plan as they continue to sell off water and water allocations. Out of all of this, South Australia will be the worst off, but this relatively low migration State is no doubt regarded by the elites as expendable and can go into the waste basket of history.

What is truly appalling about this event is that even though the Constitution itself protects the State's control over water, according to *The Australian* article, Howard has "obtained high-level advice that clears the way for it to wrest control from the States".

Realisation of worst nightmare: So the lawyers have worked out another way to get around the Constitution, and you can bet that the centralist and symbiotic High Court will deliver the right judgement. Just use the Corporations Power, which as they recently held, is virtually unlimited in extent.

In this issue: • *Thought For The Week* • *Howard's Water Grab* • *Water: Jeremy Lee Writes To States' Premiers* • *Court Decision: Racial Discrimination Act* • *willyouvisitmeinprison.com Website* • *Global Warming An 'Apocalyptic Religion'?*

"ON TARGET"

February 16th, 2007

Page 1 (21)

This is what Australia has become, the realisation of the worst nightmares of Major Clifford Hugh Douglas: a place where Monopoly has triumphed. The League, a David, stands its ground against this Goliath.

WATER: JEREMY LEE WRITES TO STATES' PREMIERS:

Constitutional Powers and call for Referendum. (Letters to Iemma and Beattie).

The Hon Mike Rann, Premier, South Australia, Parliament House, Adelaide, 5000:

Dear Premier,

You are absolutely right to resist the Federal takeover of powers over water. Prime Minister Howard's move is not so much a spat with the States as an attack on the people.

Section 100 of the Constitution says: *"The Commonwealth shall NOT, by any law or regulation of trade or commerce, abridge the right of a State, or of the residents therein, to the waters of rivers for conservation or irrigation."* (emphasis added)

If Mr. Howard believes the drought has created sufficient reason for a transfer of powers. The Constitution provides the formula for such a procedure – a referendum of the Australian people under Chapter 128 of the Constitution.

In 1942 a similar attempt was made, under the excuse of wartime emergency to persuade the States to transfer 14 powers to Canberra. Every State agreed, with the exception of the Upper House in the smallest State, Tasmania. The leader of the Upper House, one-time Test cricket captain Joe Darling, bluntly told Federal Attorney-General Dr. H.V. Evatt to go back to Canberra and hold a constitutional referendum, as was his duty.

Tasmania, and Joe Darling, were attacked for hindering the war effort, but stood firm. Frustrated, Dr. Evatt was finally forced to hold the famous "14-powers-referendum" in 1944. There was a massive vote "No" in every State, with the biggest vote against in Tasmania!

It is always under threat of crisis or emergency that the Commonwealth seeks to by-pass the Constitution.

Water trading on the Stock Exchange, the separation of water and land titles, the foreign and corporate 'ownership' of water, the blasphemous claim that "ownership of all water is vested in the State", and the transfer of power over water from the States to the Commonwealth are all ultra vires and should not be contemplated without the peoples' consent. This, as Mr. Howard knows, is both the letter and the spirit of the Constitution, and to disregard it is an abuse of power. Absolute power corrupts absolutely!

Is this why tuition on the Constitution and its history has been weeded out of the school curriculum? Please stand, firm, Mr. Rann, not only on behalf of South Australians, but on behalf of all Australians currently being muzzled by power-crazy politicians and bankers.

Let's all do just what Jeremy has done: Write to our political representative and State Premier protesting this power grab by Howard. Surely the Commonwealth and the States could agree to work together for the GOOD of ALL the Australian people without the States handing over their Constitutional powers over water? Ask Howard is he threatening he will withhold the finances IF the States don't hand over their Constitutional powers to the Commonwealth? Just whose money does he think he is handling?

COURT DECISION: RACIAL DISCRIMINATION ACT: *The Sydney Morning Herald* reported 4/2/07 Pastor Anthony Grigor-Scott has been ordered to remove from his website "a hate-filled"

newsletter that refers to the 'Holocaust hoax' and claims Jews have 'monopolistic control' over (the) world's media and film. The Executive Council of Australian Jewry instigated proceedings against Pastor Grigor-Scott and his website. The Federal Court ruled that some parts of the website contravened the Racial Discrimination Act.

If Truth was a defence in this strange legal-world of racial and religious vilification – where Truth is No Defence – Pastor Grigor-Scott could suggest that before making a judgement the judge read *the* facts in such books as: "The High Priests of War" and "The New Jerusalem – Zionist Power in America" by Michael Collins Piper. The League would be happy to supply copies.

WILLYOUVISITMEINPRISON.COM WEBSITE: Well, well. As more and more people become alarmed as the 'Pigs' dogs of Animal Farm' herd we sheeple into ever tighter circles of legal oppression through the various racial and religious vilification laws around the nation, a website with the above address has been set up to rally South Australians to take on the Rann government's moves to extend already oppressive legislation.

The website warns that the S.A. Equal Opportunity (Miscellaneous) Amendment Bill 2006 "is set to make the very real and horrendous problems of the Victorian vilification laws look totally insignificant in comparison".

It is most encouraging to see how professionally the group has set up the site and its instructions to the public of South Australia for action. It relates the legal experiences of the two pastors, Danny Nalliah and Daniel Scot as *O.T.* has previously reported on. League folk will remember hearing Pastor Danny's story at the National Seminar a few years ago.

On 14th of December 2006 the Victorian Appeal Court upheld the appeal by the two pastors against the 2004 decision of the Victorian Civil and Administrative Tribunal that they broke the Bracks Government's vilification laws at a Christian seminar on Islam in 2002.

But the pastors' case has been sent back to the Tribunal, to be heard again by a different judge to be heard again later this year.

Truth is no defence: As the website notes the Victorian vilification law says telling the truth can be a crime.

Equal Opportunity (Miscellaneous) Amendment Bill 2006: In South Australia, the Equal Opportunity (Miscellaneous) Amendment Bill 2006 (check it out through the internet) was quietly introduced by the South Australian Attorney-General Michael Atkinson in late October 2006. The website warns its readers the law will bring in sweeping changes to the way South Australians think and act. Quite!

Lessons gleaned from history: And the site rightly acknowledged there are important lessons 'gleaned from history' as to what can be expected with this type of legislation. And it sums up what will happen (we would insist what *has* happened) if the legislation goes through.

- Reduces freedom of speech
- Broadens the definition of "victimisation" to include "vilification"
- Increases the Equal Opportunity Commissioner's powers
- Provides State sponsored legal action
- Represses freedom of religion

What about registering your disagreement to this Bill with your State politician and helping those already caught in the vilification web? The following list will be of help to e-mail/fax or phone key people:

Premier Mike Rann **e-mail** premier@saugov.sa.gov.au fax 8463 3168; ph 8463 3166.

Attorney-General Michael Atkinson **e-mail** attorney-general@agd.sa.gov.au fax 8207 1736, phone 8207 1723.

Opposition Leader Iain Evans **e-mail** davenport@parliament.sa.gov.au fax 8370 2626, ph 8278 5844.

No Pokies Independent Nick Xenophon **e-mail** nx@xen.net.au fax 8212 5792; ph 8237 9396

No Pokies Independent Ann Bressington **e-mail** ann.bressington@parliament.sa.gov.au fax 82379534 ph 8237 9596;

Family First Andrew Evans **e-mail** andrew.evans@parliament.sa.gov.au fax 8237 9478 ph 8237 9341.

Letters can be sent to all MPs C/- Parliament House, North Terrace, Adelaide, S.A., 5000.

Good advice: Ask for a reply and state that you have sent a copy of your letter to a number of MPs.

GLOBAL WARMING AN 'APOCALYPTIC RELIGION'? Columnist Andrew Bolt (*Herald Sun*, 2/2/07) has whipped up a global summer storm, or should I say "whipped up a global warming", by questioning the dire apocalyptic predictions from some of Australia's environmental 'experts'. He wrote:

"Scarier than global warming is that even – even? – our top academics exaggerate so wildly about it. No, I'm not talking again about Tim Flannery, our Australian of the Year, but of his former friend and colleague, Mike Archer. Read on, to see again how recklessly even our men of science now feed you hype. Archer is dean of science at the University of N.S.W., where, you'd hope, he teaches students to stick to the facts.

But this week he wrote an apocalyptic piece on global warming for the *Sydney Morning Herald*, warning of a Noah's flood:

"For example, if the Greenland and Antarctica ice-sheets melt (which they are doing in spectacular fashion), sea levels could rise, as they have done many times in the past, by 100m. If that were to happen, forget the metre-in-a-century mantra, and forget half of Sydney, along with most of the world's coastal populations."

Got it? Forget the seas rising a metre by 2100. Says Archer, the melt of Greenland and Antarctica is so "spectacular" our beach by then will start at Eltham. Except for this.

Origins of the 'global storm'? The United Nations' Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change has whipped up most of the warming panic, but even its latest report – out today – will challenge every claim Archer makes. As *Reuters* reported yesterday, a draft of that report, the work of 2500 scientists, concedes that, no, Antarctica may well gain snow cover, even Greenland may not be melting overall and the seas will rise not by 100m in the 100 years, but at most by 43cm.

It continued: "More snows could also offset any thaw of the vast Antarctic ice cap and the smaller cap on Greenland. If both melted over *thousands of years* world sea levels would be about 65m . . . higher (emphasis added). "In a warmer climate, models suggest that the ice sheets could accumulate more snowfall, tending to lower sea level," the draft says. But "rapid thawing at the fringes has probably outweighed any such trend in recent years."

Confirming what I wrote on Wednesday, *Reuters* added: "The IPCC is . . . set to predict sea level rises this century of between 28 and 43cm . . . a lower band than forecast in the 2001 report."

So, how could a dean of science at a top university exaggerate so recklessly? Answer: because global warming is a religion, so facts don't count. Beware."

"ON TARGET" is printed and published by The Australian League of Rights, 145 Russell St., Melbourne.
Postal Address: GPO Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001. Telephone: (03) 9650 9749, Fax: (03) 9650 9368.

Subscription \$40.00 p.a.